App. F. IX-x

1935-6

File No. 56-25

Previous References
Gen 39-28 (1-18)
93-25 (1-4)

Serial Nos. 1-13

preserve

105

Later References
Gen 28-31 (1-2)
incl. 66-31 (96, 116, 147, 157, 177, 180)
Gen 68-36-56 82, 98
Gen 15-60 (1,2,3)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Thirlēn

Part B for Anlys

SUBJECT

Exemption to petroleum installation from the levy of additional fees under municipal Regn

---

F 783 Gr 5-8 31

to

Compile

Notifies re

G. R. S. III–

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

NOTES.

File No.

File Heading.

Petroleum Installations under the Municipal

From

The Dir of Dtn

No G-364 Dated 24/10/25 Received 24/10/25

Diary No. 1178 | Enclos. | Spare Copies. | Serial No.

Brief Abstract of Letter.

Requests orders in the matter of grant of licence fee under the Municipal Regn for Petroleum installations & for obtaining powers under the Petroleum Regn

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Subt for orders with a copy of Petroleum Regn & Rules

2) The will please put up a self contained note dealing with the existg provns.

26/10/25

First Sheet.

Serial No

Vyapuri 5

Kerosene is included under — Petroleum" (Sec. 2 cl. (i) of the Petroleum Rules - I - 1923)

3) The Presidents of Municipalities of Bangalore & Mysore & the Vice President Cantt. Board K.G.F. can exercise the powers of a Dist Magistrate, (Note to rule 1 - Chap. III) and they have therefore power to grant licenses in cases not exceeding 40 gallons (Rule 2 (c)) and the special scale of fees in such case is laid down in page 10 of the Petroleum Rules, and no mention is made about the levy of fees by the Municipalities separately.

4) To the Presidents of Municipalities of Bangalore & Mysore differ in their opinions and the Madras Corporation are not in favour of levying any fee the case requires consideration by Govt

5) The L. S. G. Branch may also be consulted in the matter of the opinions offered by the two Municipalities

(C & M Station?)

Waiting for guidelines by L

6) for orders

2nd 6/12/26. VS 7/12/26. The L S G. Branch may be consulted

Ag. 7/12/26

Serial No.

2 From the Dist Supdt
Rep. No. 503/Co dt 17-12-25
Requests orders whether it is necessary for the Standard Oil Co, Bombay to obtain a separate licence from the Bombay Port Municipal Commissioner for their Kerosine installations

Bombay Port Municipal Commissioner has nothing to do with the grant of licences either for Kerosene or any other Petroleum on the other hand it is the duty of every Kolar Asst has to deal with such applications.

Submitted
28/1/26 VS 2/ No orders are necessary in this case. The rules are clear on the point The Municipal Council, Bombay has no jurisdiction to give a licence under the Petroleum Rules
RSec 7

3/ Where is Sl 1. Might it be sent to L. L. Asst. This may also be sent to Asst for favour of remarks.

h
7-1-26

L.L. Sect") UD 8/1 UD 8/1
Mr O R

Please refer to the Collector 8/1

Note—Contn. Sheet 1

REVENUE SECT.
4642-L
2 JAN 1926

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-13-28

General and Revenue Secretariat

Genl File No. 56 of 1925

Serial No. 3

From the Dir. of Stores
No. G. 6357 CS of 4.2.26
Requests orders in the matter of collection of fees in respect of the petroleum installations over which under the Municipal & Petroleum Regns

In pursuance of this letter the Dir. Legal Affairs sent information in the matter of assignments of a portion of fees realised by the grant of Petroleum licences to the Municipalities has been called for

File as regards the points referred to in para 1, re collection of fees bottling the Petroleum Regn & the Municipal Regns has been refd. to L-of-L Legs for opinion (on 8.1.26)

For orders.

20/1/26 VB RSeq

WSP 12/2

To L. L. Leg with Compliments for kindly returning the file above referred with his opinion

h
12/2

WSP
12/2

£. 3020

5 3

2173

[App. F. III—

General and Revenue Secretariat | Ecl File No. 56 of 1925

Serial No

Vyapth

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
4567 L
- 8. JAN. 1926
LEGAL

Asst Sec'y
L.S.G. Bor
with comps

WOP
7/1/26

Reed 8pm 8/1/26

Vide Note put up

Please put up the file re Regn I of 1923

Last file placed below

(10) The File Leaves 52-16-8 put up

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 56 of 1925

Serial No

11) The points for consideration are these:-

1. The Director of Industries and Commerce want to know whether in view of the special legislation viz., The Petroleum Regulation, the levy of fees under the Municipal Regulation on petroleum is to be put an end to.

2. The Standard Oil Coy. New York, having obtained a license from the District Magistra Kolar, Shelter they should again obtain a license from the President, Municipal Council, Bowringpet, for the storage of non-dangerous petroleum.

12) Under Section (1) clause (3) of the Regula Sections 1 to 3 and all the provisions of the Reg tion are applicable in so far as they relate to d gerous petroleum and the importation of petroleum the whole of Mysore. The rest of this Regulation plies only to such areas as the Government may by tification direct.

13) It follows from this clause that the provisions of this Regulation with regard to transport possession of non-dangerous? petroleum will not apply to any pa Mysore unless it is extended by a Notification

14) On enquiry in the Industries and Commerce Branch I understand that the question of extendin the provisions of this Regulation is still under sideration. If so, no fee can be levied under Regulation in respect of transport and possess petroleum.

15) Mas h Dept Secy a simple enough Issue

NOTE— Contn. Sheet

Serial No

16) Even if the rest of the Regulation is so extended, steps will also have to be taken under Section 22 of the Petroleum Regulation to restrict the powers of local authorities under any enactment so far as that enactment relates to the possession and transport of petroleum. Until this is done, the powers of local authorities will not be restricte to levying the fees under any other enactment.

P

17) If therefore it is the policy of Government to restrict Municipalities in the exercise of the powers under the Municipal Regulation to levy fe for possession or storage of petroleum, action to be taken under Section 1 (3) and Section 22 the Petroleum Regulation and until this is done, there is no question of limiting the right of Mu cipalities in the exercise of their rights under Municipal Regulation.

Yd Pleader

Q

18) In this connection it may be pointed out, that Section (8) (a) of the Regulation authorise Government to frame a rule providing for the gra ing of licenses to "import" petroleum within Myso in cases in which such licenses are by law requir While Section 10 provides that license should be taken for the transport and possession of petrole there is no provision of law requiring licenses t be taken for importing. So unless such provision made, no rule can be framed requiring license for the importation of petroleum. In fact no such rule has been framed for importing petroleum from outside the State. The word "import" is not foun in the British Indian Act and was inserted in our

R

in excess of 500 gals.

NOTE— Contn. Sheet

4567

VD 01264—GPB—40,000—17/12-25
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Rev File No. 56 of 192

Serial No.

EWAN'S OFFIC

GI 1425

6/08

Dewan.

8/3
12/3

1) I shall marry on
25) refer amended rules
& all rules are not enforced
in subject boley been
the under the regulation ref
& they must be subject? boley to
Central & municipal authority
They must also come
under the general rule
of the Petroleum Rept
26) So please make the
bunt clear

15/3

8/3
12/3

27) A note is put up for
Consideration & orders

15/5

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-2.
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Ger File No. 56 of 192 S.

Serial No.

28) These papers relate to the taking out licenses to import or transport or ~~keep~~ to keep Petroleum

29) The Director of Industries & Commerce has made reference to Govt to the effect that ~~the~~ in order to bring our law in conformity with that prevailing in British India especially in Madras & the Statute the levy of fee for issuing license ~~to store~~ petroleum within the municipal limits may be dispensed with

30) This raises an important issue. Under Section 5 ~~8~~ 8C of Regulation I of 1923 (~~Regulation~~ Mysore Petroleum Regn), the Govt is empowered to issue a license any person to import, or transport or to keep a dangerous quantity of petroleum exceeding 40 gallons

Quantity of dangerous petroleum

31) The Govt have framed rules regulating the procedure of issuing licenses & fixing the fees to be levied.

Under Sec 8(b)(2) of the Regulation the Govt are empowered to make rules for fixing fees for the ~~storage~~ storage of petroleum unless any local authority is empowered in this behalf

32) To ~~Notification~~ to my knowledge has been issued empowering any municipals to issue a license under Regulation I of 1923

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-28

General and Revenue Secretariat

Govt File No. 56 of 1928

Serial No.

33) Under Municipal Regulation (Sec 151 read with Sec 48). Any Municipal Council is empowered to issue Bye-laws investing upon the person to take out a license to possess any quantity of petroleum within

34) But under section 22 of the Mysore Petroleum Regulation the Govt may, by notification in the official Gazette limit the operation of sections 151 & 48 of the Municipal Regulation in so far as they relate to the possession or transport of petroleum.

35) The position now is that the Govt issues licenses to persons to import or transport & possess any quantity of petroleum in any area after levying a fee fixed under the rules & that any Municipal Council can insist upon the licensee to take out any another license from the Council after paying a fixed fee fixed under their Bye-laws, for possessing petroleum within Municipal limits

36) Hence any person possessing petroleum in Municipal area has to pay a double fee — (one to Govt & another to Municipal Council).

WD 01284—GPB—10,000—17-12-25
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

4567

File No. 56 of 1925

Serial No.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Received on
3. AUG. 1926
Reg. No. 835

37) The practice in Madras & in C & M Station Bangalore appears to be that when once a person obtains a license from Govt to import & possess petroleum in the municipal limits he is prevented from levying any fee from him

38) Thus the double taxation is prevented If the Govt decide that no double fee should be levied as it is in Madras & C & M. Station, steps may be taken to issue a notification under Sec 22 of M. P. Regn limiting the operation of Sections 157 read with Sec 48 of Municipal Regn.

39) If the Govt intend to allow the municipal Council to levy a separate fee, they have to be empowered to do so under by rules framed under Section 8 (2) (g) of Regulation I of 1923

Dewan.

30. 7.

40) I think action has to be taken to bring the provisions in line with that in Bangalore & the C. M. Station.

41) The 1st Member may be consulted by the Minister in charge, the Standing Committee

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

for local Govt may be consulted before the notification is issued (It should be run formerly to consult Mess Commerce & Labour as well.)

Jm
9.8.26.

1st Member.

8/8
1732/1118

42) I shall be glad if Mr Rama Rao will please draw from Secretaries Depts concerned lists of subjects to be placed before Standing Cees & Chairmen & Circulate Thus— Ceremonial may also be included.

KW
13/8/26

8/8
1250

43) Secl Letter with Compliments

Secl Subject

Please send off a memo to all the Secretaries

PR
13/8

44) Submd with a draft. It is for orders in whose name the draft has to issue — whether in the name of Secy R.A. or of Secy to the Standing Committee

RR
14/8.

14.8.26

46) Secy Standing Com

PR
14/8

dd

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
13 AUG. 1926
REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY
LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL &
ECONOMIC CONFERENCE

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Govt File No 56 of 1925

Serial No.

47) This matter was discussed before the Standing Comm[n] for Local Self Govt this morning

48) Incidentally, a point was raised by M[r] Kamick Krishnamurthi Rao whether it was necessary for private motor vehicle owners to obtain licenses pay fees therefor, for storing a reasonable quantity of petroleum for bona fide use on the vehicle. It may be mentioned that Rule 9 of Ch. IV of Part II of the Petroleum Rules applin to such cases and a license in form. F. may be issued free of charge, by Govt when the quantity to be possessed exceeds 40 gallons & by the local authority when it does not exceed that limit.

K

Pp. 22 of the Coll. of the Rules (put up).

49) The main point - viz as to who should issue licenses & levy fees for the possn and storage of Petroleum in municipal towns & cities - whether the municipality or the Govt - was then discussed The general consensus of opinion was that it was unreasonable to demand license fee twice over, for the same installation for two authorities ~~to two authorities~~ - The Municipal Regn & Rules provided for the M[l] Councils levying such fees and under the Petroleum Regulations Govt could also levy the fees Before taking up the question of the issue of a notification empowering the local authority to fix the levy the fees under Sec 8 (2) q. of the Petroleum Regulations, it was resolved by the Comm[n] that information may be

NOTE- Contn.Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

Serial No.

obtained on the following points:—

i) What are the rates for octroi of petroleum now being levied a) by the municipalities and b) by Govt?

ii) What is the income that the municipalities are now getting from this source? and what income is derived by Govt from the same source?

50) The Committee considered that they could come to a decision after the above information is placed before them, at their next meeting

51) The above information will now be obtained, if

First Mr. & Chairman of the St. Commn for L.S.G } approved

UO 2/10/26

Lee 4/10/26

52) Secy/ Dep. Secy/ Asst Secy Stanoj Commn for LSG

UO 4/10/26

PRR 4/10

UO 5/10

GDS 7/10/26

53) Mr. Ayyar

UO 5/10

54) draft letter for approval

Lee 8.10.26

Secy D)

UO 8/10

55) Dft. approved by Secy may issue & the file may be sent

UO 11/10

4304 — 38 — 100 44
5825 — 1173 -25
Ecol

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 56

WD 01226—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]
of 192 5.

Serial No.

4 To the dir y Ind e
no D 2743 dy 19.10.26
calls for some information

5 6) Refer Para 55 y rules

Received 20-10-26
no 19.10.26 5-7) Subd for perusal after issue.

Second M)

WP 24/10
WP 27-10-26

58) Subd with a dft. reminder
20/12/26

59) Issue
Issued 4735 dy 22/12/26 20/12/26

GEN'L
29 OCT 1926
INDUSTRIES & COMMERCE BRANCH.

From the dir. y Ind e
no. y.705] CS dy 15/1/27
furnishes the information called for in 84 y rule at which the fee is levied by the several municipalities, the amounts collected by them & by Govt. (separately)
60) Subd for orders.
19.1.27
61) This may be sent to the to the Standing Comm 25 for L S
Sec M D)
WP 20/1

Legs file 5-2-16 taken back
6/1/27

21/1

61) Yes GPS 23/1/27

NOTE- Contn.Sheet

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
25 OCT. 1926
No. 2933.

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Geol File No. 4304/26 of 1926.

Serial No.

63) Subd The information wanted by the Standing Committee about the fees collected & total receipts accruing effect to the Lagoon Petroleum Regn is put up This subject will be included in the Agenda for the ordinary meeting to be held

P.O.D

Reg II

A 1

Vide The note already placed before 25/11/26 the Standing Committee is added to the for orders.

Secy 26/11

Govt Secy)

64) A. G.

Secy 26/11

65) May the resolution of the Standing Committee be communicated

66) for orders

PP. 19(a, b & c)

20 27/1/27 67) 28/1 W. 30/1/27

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT, 31 JAN. 1927 DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

68) A note on the subject is put up from Secy 27/1/27

Govt Secy) 69) The portion in brackets marked A A is the old note the Secy can add^n & give the details regarding the last meeting & action will be taken & sent to the Members after although

First Member

Secy 3/2/27

8353 7/2

Mr. HS. Please send a copy for record

NOTE—Contn. Subject

RA Secy have seen the note add^n Yes Secy 7/2

Secy 8/2

18 [illegible]

Note on the amendment of the Petroleum Rules.

The following points arise for consideration in connection with the issue of license for petroleum. Under the Petroleum Regulation, Government may issue licenses for the importation, possession or storage -- and transport of petroleum and under Section 151 read with Section 49 of the Municipal Regulation, a Municipal Council may issue licenses for the storage of petroleum. There is no conflict of interest as regards importation and transport. The difficulty arises only in regard to possession or storage. The questions for consideration are whether both the Government and a local body may be allowed to issue licenses and recover fees that may be fixed by them, or which single authority is to be allowed to issue license, and whether that authority is required to compensate the other.

The practice in British India, e.g., Madras City Corporation and the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, is stated to be that when a license is issued -- under the Petroleum Regulation, no license is issued - by the Municipal Councils. This seems to be reasonable, as otherwise people will have to pay twice for the same purpose which may not be justifiable. It seems therefore necessary to order that only one authority should issue a license in regard to the same purpose

The next point is who should be allowed to issue license for the storage of petroleum in places where there is power for two authorities to issue such a license, whether Government power should prevail, or that of the local authority. Under Section 8 (2), (f) of the Petroleum Regulation, Government may frame rules "fixing fees for the grant of petro-

any local authority is empowered in that behalf.

The Government have thus felt the necessity of recognising the claim of the Municipal Councils for fees connected with the storage of Petroleum. They may therefore allow the Municipal Councils who have taken this power in their bye-laws in this behalf to continue the practice. They have got power to restrict this by issue of a notification under Section 22 of the Petroleum Regulation. This will be unnecessary if Municipal Councils are allowed to issue licenses for storage or possession of petroleum If the Government are not willing to waive this claim, a notification will have to issue in such areas. Then they will have to consider the question of assigning a portion of the revenue to the local body, as is allowed in other cases, e.g., sharing in license fees on motor vehicles plying for hire both in a provincial and local area.

All things considered, the Government may under Section 8 (2) (g) of the Petroleum Regulation perhaps allow the local bodies only to issue licenses for storage or possession of petroleum, and may not levy fees at all in the matter. Otherwise, a notification will have to issue under Section 22 restricting the power of local bodies in local areas and as it may not be quite proper to deprive the Municipal Council of the revenue which they would otherwise have derived, the question of payment of a portion of fees levied by Government in the matter will have to be considered. A

When the subject was placed before the meeting of the Standing Committee for Local Self-Government etc., held on the 2nd October 1926, it was resolved that before coming to a decision, information may be obtained on the fo

(1) What are the rates of fees for storage of petroleum now being levied (a) by the Municipalities and (b) by Government?

(2) What is the income that the Municipalities are now getting from this source, and what income is derived by Government from the same source?

A copy of a statement received from the Director of Industries and Commerce furnishing information on the above points is appended It is seen that the rate of fees levied by the Municipalities for non-dangerous petroleum is different in different districts, while the Government rate for dangerous petroleum is Rs. 12. During the year 1925-26, against a sum of Rs. 655 realised by different Municipalities, a sum of Rs. 3,226-4-1 was realised by Government.

5/2

(1) What are the rates of fees for storage of petroleum now being levied (a) by the Municipalities and (b) by Government?

(2) What is the income that the Municipalities are now getting from this source, and what income is derived by Government from the same source?

A copy of a statement received from the Director of Industries and Commerce furnishing information on the above points is appended. It is seen that the rate of fees levied by the Municipalities for non dangerous petroleum is different in different districts, while the Government rate for dangerous petroleum is Rs. 12. During the year 1925-26, against a sum of Rs. 655 realised by different Municipalities a sum of Rs. 3,226-4-1 was realised by Government.

5/2

General and Revenue Secretariat | Ecol File No. 56 of 192 5

Seri No 6

From the Dir. of Ecn
No G.80b/Co dy 10.2.26

Requests early orders on the matter of payment of petroleum licence fees to the Municipalities within whose jurisdiction petroleum storage installations have been established

Dep. Secy's note.

1) Refer: please"
(RLS) N. R.
11.2.27

2) The file has been ref'd. to the Standing (L.S.G.) Committee. This may therefore be ref'd. to the Secretariat for putting up ref'ce: & for noting how the question stands.
15-2-27 (Sgd) H. Branch 15/2

4) Subject discussed at the meeting of the Standing Comm held on the 12th inst. The approval of the proceedings will be awaited, & thereafter the file be returned to the Dy Secy (L) Branch with the main file

5) The draft proceedings have been submitted for approval. The resolution will be communicated to Dep. Secy in due course
Dep. Secy 19/2

Dy Secy (L) Branch

Seri No.

76) Refer = Para 5 of note for perusal & orders

(S. Sec" D)

14-2-27

77) Is it for this Dept to take action in this matter?

26-2-27

26/2

78) It has to be decided after the Proceedings of the Standing Committee are read which Dept should take further action.

26/2 79) Please get a copy of the Proceedings, from the G.M. Branch.

28/2

G. M. Br. for kind compliance

80) An extract from the proceedings of the meeting of the Standing Committee for L&L etc held on 13/2/27, placed overleaf. The previous files received from the D&C Branch are also put up. These may also be sent to that Branch for further action.

JM MSK
13/2/27 23/2/27

(Asst Br)

28/2

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 56 of 1925

Serial No.

89 Proceedings of the Standing Committee of the Representative Assembly and Legislative Council for Local Self-Government and Departments of Medicine &c, held on 12th February 1927.

Levying of license fees for possession and storage of petroleum in Municipal areas by Government and Municipal Bodies.

82 As the rules framed under Section 8 (2) g of the Petroleum Regulation about the levy of license fees for the storage and possession of petroleum overlap, in respect of Municipal area, resolved that the question of the allocation of the revenue under this head between Government and Municipalities may be referred to the Special Officer for Taxation and that pending final decision, the existing practice of Government levying license fees on dangerous petrole and Municipal Bodies on non-dangerous petroleum may continue

General and Revenue Secretariat | Fol File No 56 of 1925

Serial No.

Refer :— Para 79 of notes

83) the Standing Committee has not yet come to a decision on the point (Vide Paragr. of the Committee para 22 of notes - page 22) Foreign Dept.

573/27. 84) Please see previous page for a copy of the proceedings of the Standing Committee on the subject As the matter is proposed to be referred to the Special Officer for Taxation it will take some time before it is settled Till then, the existing practice is to continue

85) Further action may now be taken accordingly - An ad interim reply will have to be sent to the Dn of Ind & Commerce See D)

6/3

86) Putting aside in terms the resolution of the Std Committee - viz - that pending finale decision the present practice of Govt levying fees on dangerous petroleum & fuel oil (on non dangerous petroleum) might continue.

13 3 27

14/3

See the file

87) Draft put up 14/3/27. 88) Dft for consideration See D)

16/3

—Contn.Sheet

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
18 MAR 1927
No 6105

Serial No.

91 Dft. submitted appl

3rd Member) 16 3 27 WP

C81/1873 90/1 cons 18/3 WP 18 3 27 WP 19/3

7 To,

Director I & C.

No. 8042 Dy. 21-3-27

States that pending final decision, the existing practice in the matter may be continued.

8 From the Dir of I & C

No C2. 348/27 of 2-12-27

forwards copy of letter from messrs the Standard Oil Co of New York, in the matter of the levy of fee on the storage of Petroleum (crude petroleum) under the Indian Petroleum & Kerosene Mineral Regns

91) Kind attention is invited to page 22 — Paras 8 1 & 2 of Rules & Communication of 21-3-27 (81-91) for orders

92) 9-12-27 Please note whether the Chief Officer for Taxation has given his opinion on this point & the matter has been decided by the Standg Committee of R.A. & L.S. for Local Self Govt or where it has been pending. WP 15/12

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01223—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

General and Revenue Secretariat

Excel File No. fr 4824 S-6 of 1925

Serial No.

94) Please see the note of the Asstt Secy on page 24 - para 93 of Notes and note the decision of the Standing Committee refd to

G. in Br

(Standing Committee L.S.G.)

20/ 15-12-27.

95) Subd. The extract from the proceedings of the Standing Committee were sent to the Development Branch for necessary action. If the information required by the Committee is furnished the matter will again be placed before a meeting of the Committee.

Dev Br 16/12/27 17/12/27

96) On enquiry in the Finl: Br. it is learnt that copies of the report of the Rail Officers for Taxation are available in the Confidential Br.

97) The C. B. is therefore requested to put up a copy of the report to answer the point raised by the Asstt Secy. in para 93 of Notes - p. 25

20/ 19-12-27

C. B.)

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 56 of 1928

Serial No.

98) the file was referred to the standing Committee Section as required in para 93 of rules. What the final decision arrived at in the matter is, and whether the subject is included among those that carry pending final dismissal are not clear from the reply furnished (para 95 of rules)

99) the Std officer for Taxation furnished his opinion only on the levy of Excise duty on petroleum and is silent as regards the double levying of licence fees. Neither the standing Committee Section made any formal Communication, & the Spl. Officer on the subject is not at also clear from their note 4 ft- ante. A further reference will be made

100) the Administrative Br. has taken the necessary action as regards the latter portion of the Resolution - page 23 of rules)

20/12/27 101) Subd for perusal with previous refce

(A. If that is 20, the file may perhaps be sent U.O. to M. N S Subba Rao, for favour

Page 98 I(2).

A

102) It does not appear from the papers in this file that the Question was specifically referred to the Special Officer.

103) G.M. Branch may again be consulted if necessary.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 56 of 1925

Serial No.

of recording his opinion

Secy D)

22/12

The K.S.G. Branch

22/12

104) May be done

105) I shd like to know however whether the Legal fee, on pressure corresponds to any duties on the keeping authority to conduct periodical inspections to secure public safety by enforcing safe & efficient storage — whether in other words either the PWC Dept, or the Municipality has any staff conducts any inspection of petroleum stores and has staff for this work.

23/12/27

23/12

106) The information called for in para (105) is not available in this office

107) Draft to the Dir. of P.W.D. and the President of Municipality is therefore submitted for approval

108) After issue of this draft action in respect of para (104) will be taken

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. Ecl File No. 56 of 1925.

Serial No. Latest no 4/1/28

109) Appd. bmw UG 10/1

9 to the Dir of Ste & the President City Municipality, Bangalore
No. D. 7212-13 dy 11-1-28
Requested information as regards the nature of periodical inspections, if any, that are being conducted by the officers of the above departments

110) Refer - Paras 101, 104 & 108 of rules & orders

111) Forwarded, with compliments to Mr V. S. Subba Rao for favour of opinion.

112) (Please see Para 82. of the Note, for the point on which opinion is requested).

By order
Vedanayakam
Asst Secy. to Govt
13/1/28
Dev: Dichkr

Ag. 10. from the Dir. of Ste no. C. 348/27-8 dy 16-2-28. furnishes information called for in 8. no (9)

113) I am sorry that my change of offices in the last few months led to delay in expressing my opinion & returning the file.

14) With regard to the question

18/4

NOTE- Contn. sheet.

4681 7045 18 App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 56 of 1928

Serial No.

referred to me I am afraid that it has all the aspects of an answer merely on general or theoretical grounds. The State as well as the Municipality may both be said to have rights as well as duties in the matter. If this, therefore, that allocation of the revenue obtained from license fees for storage / possession can be made only as a part of a general scheme of regulation & the income of municipalities. Should, however, the State decide as is contemplated, to levy an a surcharge fee on the sale of petrol, the license fee on the storage of petrol (whether it may be whether) may well be made over to the municipalities

Mr Watson 17/4

115) The reply of the Dy Sec, the President of the Municipality may be awaited.

AB 19.4.28

WD 19/4

File need found in 2-6-28.

Submitted for perusal.

A

116) The reply received from the Dy Sec is placed in the linked file. A dft. reminder to the President of municipality is put up for sgnt. or for other orders

5/5.

5.5

117) Issue

VS 7 5 28

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—21 5 27

Serial No.	
11	From the Munl Comr Bangalore city. No $C_2-1/22-28$ dt 7-11-28 Submits a report as regards the nature of inspections under the Petroleum Rules Refce :— Para 10 (5) of rules — p. 28 118) The reports recd from the Dir. of I & C & the Munl Comr Bangalore city Municipality are rcd. Inmuch of the two reports the one from the Munl Comr speaks only about the preservation of petrol & nothing about the inspections the Munl authorities Comr conducting 119) orders are solicited on (1) the question of dispensing with the system of levy of fees under the Municipal Regulation (Letter no. S. 364 dt 24-10-25 from the Dir. of I & C. — & no 1), ~~thus~~ to obviate ~~to obviate~~ the levy of double taxes in under the Munl & Petroleum Rules. (2) the duties to be exercised by the Dir. of I & C (officer to administer the Petroleum Rules) & the Powers of Municipalities (Para 39 of rules also requiring consideration) & (3) the levy of Surcharge referred to in para 114 (vide p. 29 & 30) of rules the
A & P U. O	

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

opinion of the Special Officer for Taxation

14/11/28

120) The rules may be brought in conformity with those prevailing in Br. India, by issuing a notification depriving the municipality of the powers of levying thereon

2/12

See Govt

121) I agree

122) See also Secretariat minute para 40 above

1st Member

10 12 28

123) This question has been pending long and some decision about it should be reacned with out further delay

124) The entire control in respect of petroleum should be in the hands of one authority and the arrangement under which two authorities issue licenses for regulating the storage and possession of pertroleum is undesirable and should be terminated The provisions of Regulation I of 1923 and the practice based upon the corresponding law of British India as ~~it has been~~ ascertained to be in force in the Civil & Military Station and in the City of Madras make it clear that the authority for controlling

RECEIVED
10 DEC. 1928
No. 3544

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21-8-28

Serial No.

controlling and regulating all matters relating to petroleum is Government. When Government issue licenses and take the fees therefor, all arrangements in regard to inspections and other measures to be adopted in the interests of public safety should be made by them and when no responsibility for inspection, etc., is cast upon Local Bodies, I do not think there can be any claim on their part to a share of the fees levied by Government for issue of licenses. In this view, a notification might, as proposed, be issued under Section 22 of Regulation I of 1923 prohibiting local authorities from taking any action in regard to the regulation of petroleum for which provision is made in the special law on the subject.

125) Before, however, a notification can be issued on the lines proposed, it is necessary to find out which are all the areas to which the Sections of Regulation I of 1923 other than Sections 1 to 3 and the provisions relating to dangerous petroleum and importation of petroleum, have been extended Action under Section 22 is called for only in regard to areas to which all the provisions of Regulation I of 1923 have been applied under Section 1 (3) of the Regulation.

Extended to all areas in the State by the K.G.Y. Sanitary Bd. vide Notfn. P.C. 6228 G 93-25-4 dt. 9.3.26] Ca

126) If the entire Petroleum Regulation is in operation throughout the State, the notification now to be issued under Section 22 thereof

NOTE—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Geol File No. 56 of 192 5.

Serial No.

thereof need not specify particular areas; otherwise it will be necessary to state that the restriction is only in respect of areas to which the Petroleum Law has been extended fully.

127) As regards the exact form in which the notification under Section 22 should be issued, we might, if possible, consult the notification if any issued under the similar provision of the corresponding law of British India.

126) To the issue of the said notification it is necessary to obtain the concurrence of the Second Member.

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
13 DEC. 1928
No. 4567

11/12/28

129) 2nd Member

11/12/28

14/12

130) Let me see the at- Bangalore.

CSR 61/29

131) Jagree

CSR

132) Submitted to Finance Member 28/8/29

30/3

CSR 30/3

133) Please take action acc to my note of 11-12-28

1/4/29

24/1/29

GOOI

37°-03
75-03

G. R. S. III—3]

D. 7503

7503

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

Commercial Dir of Stc

D.C No C2-348/27-28

dt 6/3/29

Requests early orders in the matter of collecting licence fees on Petroleum boats and Petroleum Regns

The references on the subject were called for by the H. Member on 12/12/28

(Dy. No. 3736)

D.O 11/3/29 Secy

Cen 12/3/29

12/3

21 3 29

Cen 21/3

21/3 D.O 22/3

P. A. to the 2nd Member with Compliments

Connected file returned with Members orders

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

W D 1880—GPB—1,50,000—2|5-24

3-7-
9587

Serial No.

134/ draft calling for the information required by the ~~Secretary~~ in the ~~matter~~ Minute dy 11.12.28 - Para 125 in page 33 is ~~called~~ for ~~as~~ filed

20 2/4/29 S.Dn 3/4. 135/ Issue Cen 4.4.29

12 To the Dir. of I+C
No. D.10420 dy 11.4.29
Calls for detailed information as regards the areas over to which the provisions of the Petroleum Regns have been extended

13 From the Dir of I+C
No C2-48/27-28 dy 10.5.29
States that sections of the Regns have been made applicable to all the Municipal areas & the localities administered by the Sanitary Bd K. G. 7
Refees! - First members minutes
136) Para-125 Refees. put up
137) Para 127 Pres Bd. will if appd be consulted on the points
20 11/5/29 S.Dn 4/5 138/ The notification to be issued under S. 22 will, therefore, have to cover all Mpl. areas & the area administered by the K.G.T. Sanitary Board. The form

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
13 APR. 1929
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21-8-28

Serial No.

Levy of fees for storage of Petroleum

of the notifn issued in Br. Cadre may be obtained for reference.

139) Before issuing the notifn. perhaps the opinion of the Standing Committee for L.S.G. will have to be obtained as per Devan's directions in para 41. Before coming to a final decision this Committee wanted to have the opinion of the Special Officer for Kanadia in regard to the allocation of revenue (para 82). This officer's opinion is contained in para 114. His opinion is indefinite. He says that if Govt levy a surcharge on the sale of petrol as contemplated, the licence fee on storage of petroleum (both dangerous & non-dangerous) may be made over to the local bodies. Perhaps he is not known whether the question of the surcharge has been decided yet.

Secy to Govt

Govt do. s. 29

2/5

Please perhaps enquire & notification extending the Pet. Regn to all the areas

140) The Regn however extends

to respect of dangerous petroleum & importation of petroleum } & the whole State

" the rest of the Regn — to all the areas & the K.G. & areas. (Flag U)

141) It is necessary to limit the powers of the operation of the Regn (VII of 1908)

WD 025—GPB—30,000—21-8-28

Serial No.

so far as Ment Regn relates to the granting licenses for storing petrol - and also the operation of secs 34 & 35 of the Mysore Mines Regn (IV of 1906) (It is seen from the* statement that the KGF Sanitary Board are as a matter of fact levying a fee of Rs 2/- per 1000 gallons of non dangerous petroleum)

May SM

142) The notification may be in the following form, which follows as closely as possible the wording of the Govt of India Notfn dated 12.07

In exercise of the powers conferred by sec 22 of the Mysore Pet. Regn (I of 1923) the Govt of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore are pleased to limit the operation of the enactments specified below, in so far as the said enactments relate to the possession or transport or storage of petroleum, to quantities of dangerous petroleum not exceeding 3 gallons contained in a receptacle coming under the description in the Annexure to sec 6 of the said Regn

The Mysore MC Regn (VII of 1906)
The Mysore Mines Regn (IV of 1906)

1st Member
Mysore L R

L R
22 5 29
Cer

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

Serial No.

143) The limit of non-dangerous petroleum has not been fixed in the draft notification.

D M
6/
K G N
21 6 29

OFFICE OF THE REV. SECRETARIAT
25 JUNE 1929
No. 7906

REV. SECRETARIAT
26 JUNE 1929
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

7/6

144) This requires some more consideration and I wish to discuss it with the Rev Secy and the Dir of I & C. The following are the points which now strike me as requiring consideration

1 Why no ref is made in the draft notification to Ordinary petroleum as in the Br Indian Notification. Point raised by the Land Secy

2. What is the agency for conducting all the administrative duties under the Law and is it adequate for performing this work in municipal areas and the K G ?.

If we prevent the Local Bodies from having anything to do with this matter and take the license fees we should undertake the reciprocable obligation to see that the provisions laid down for securing public safety are carried out.

(3) The Local Bodies are now

Para no 102 of Rules

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 86 of 192

Serial No.

getting some revenue from this source and the question has to be considered if some any part of it should be given to them in some form and some part of the duties to be performed also laid on them

(4) Notification of 9.3.26 applied the whole law relating to ordinary petroleum to all Municipalities and the R.C. & Sanitary Board. Under what law is it that subsequent to this date these Local Bodies are levying license or other fees for possession or storage of ordinary petroleum? From the said date any action taken for controlling this explosive Material should be according to the Petroleum Rules and I do not think either Municipalities or the Sanitary Board has been authorised to levy fee under this Rule—

(5) Did not Notification of 9.3.26 expressly or by implication impose the limit for which provision is made in

are limited by the issue of a notification under S. 22 of the Pet. Rules they are not affected merely by the issue of a notification under S. 1(3) (vide L.R's note para 38)

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat. 1929 File No. 56 of 192

Serial No.

Section 22 of the Reg which is one of the Sections applied to Municipal and UC Areas?

1/45 Please put up a note with reference to pts raised by the 1st Member

Rule 26 62

1/5/29

26 6 29

Cer 27/6

Like to know the note has been put up as this is very important

1/5/29

Secretary will be able to advise

General and Revenue Secretariat | Secret File No. 56 of 1925

Serial No.

146) Points raised are enumerated in para 144 (para 146 also 51) require consideration. The work connected with the administration of Municipalities and Boards including K. P. Sanitary Board is being dealt with L. S. G. Branch & they may be able to furnish information on the general points raised. After this the file is received back records, if any not available there may be made to the general bodies and the case finally worked up

147) L. S. G. Branch may therefore be consulted in the first instance

Sd/ 14/8/29 L.S.G.Br)

Sd/ 14.8.29

148) Man working & Administration Sd/ 14/8

148. Points Nos. (1), (2) and (3) referred to in the First Member's minute in para 144 do not relate to the Local Self Government Branch.

With regard to point (5), viz., as to whether the notification of 9th March 1926 did not impose the limitation for which provision exists in Section 22 of the Petroleum Regulation, it is submitted that Section 22 confers on Government a power to impose certain limitations in respect of the operation of other laws and clearly prescribes the manner in which such limitation has to be imposed. This is only an enabling provision and unless the power conferred by the Section

GEN. & REV. SECT. 457 14 AUG. 1929 L.S.G. Branch

Serial No.

Section is also exercised by the Government by means of a Notification in the Official Gazette in that behalf no limitation will have been legally imposed either as regards the operation of the Municipal or any other Regulation of the rules or bye-laws framed thereunder or as regards the powers that may be exercised by the Local Authorities under the said enactment, rules and bye-laws. This is the legal view expressed in para 38 of the notes above.

149 As regards the remaining point (4), viz. as to the authority under which local authorities are levying fees for storage and possession of petroleum from what has been stated in paras 38 and 149 above it is clear that the mere extension of the Petroleum Regulation to the Municipalities and the Kolar Gold Fields Sanitary Board area in no way limits the exercise of the powers conferred on the Local Authorities within their respective jurisdictions by the aforesaid enactments. In these circumstances, the Municipal Councils have under clause (b) of Section 151 of the Municipal Regulation power to control the use of any place within their jurisdiction as a place of business which may involve risk of fire or is likely to be dangerous to life, health or property and so they may control the possession of petroleum by means of bye-laws made with the previous sanction of Government under Clause (b) of Sub-Section (1) of the Municipal Regulation.

The fees are levied under the powers conferred by Section 70 of the Municipal Regulation and they are prescribed in the bye-laws.

150, When new bye-laws or proposals for revision of bye-laws framed under Section 151 and 48 come up for sanction it may perhaps be desirable to veto all proposals which

Serial No.

which ~~xrx~~ aim at controlling the storage and possession of petroleum for the purpose of trade or any business.

151. As regards the Kolar Gold Fields Section 34 of the Mines Regulation empowers Government to frame rules for the special sanitation and sanitary administration of any local area within which ~~newly~~ mining operations are carried on. Section 35 of the same Regulation empowers the Government to invest the Board with powers for the enforcement of the said rules and these powers include

(a) Power to prescribe bye-laws, with the previous sanction of Government, for the special sanitation of the area under its authority and for the conduct of its business;

(b) power to levy, with the like sanction, such tax, rate or cess within the said area as a Municipal Council would be competent to levy under the law in force relating to Municipalities.

This is the only section under which powers can be conferred on the Board to make bye-laws. to levy fees (152) The Section clearly specifies that the bye-laws should be for the special sanitation of the area or for the conduct of the business of the Board. It is doubtful whether control of storage and possession of petroleum and other ~~intocable~~ inflammable materials which is a measure of public safety and not one of ~~sanitation~~ sanitation can ~~can~~ legally fall within the purview of the section.

A D.O. dated 27th August 1929 received from the Vice-President of the Sanitary Board stating that the Board is not levying any license fees for the storage of Petroleum under the Mines Regulation is also submitted. From this appears no action for limiting

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—29-1-29

Serial No.

the operation of the Mines Regulation under Sec. 22 & 82 of the Petroleum Regulation as contemplated in para 142 ~~appears to be~~ necessary

29/9/27

Please type this portion separately & forward early

9/9/27

Serial No.

P

152. Re: points (4) and (5) of the Member's notes, it is to be stated as follows :-

When a notification is issued under Section 22 of the Petroleum Regulation, the Government may limit the operation of any enactment for the time being in force relating to local authorities in any local area and the exercise of the power conferred by such enactment in so far as it relates to the possession or transport of petroleum.

The notification of 9th March 1926 issued only says that the provisions of the said Regulation other than those relating to dangerous petroleum and importation of petroleum shall extend to all Municipal areas in the State and Kolar Gold Field Sanitary Board area. It has not specifically limited the operation of the provisions of the Municipal Regulation and Mining Regulation(under which rules for dangerous and offensive trades may be issued for the Kolar Gold Field area)in those areas in regard to the possession and transport of petroleum.

There is no information as to which of the Municipal Councils have issued licenses for the purpose or are continuing the same. The Municipal Councils have under Section 151 of the Municipal Regulation power to control the use of any place within their jurisdiction as a place of business which may involve risk of fire or is likely to be dangerous to life, health and safety.and under this power they have been controlling the possession of petroleum by bye-laws made for this purpose. They have power to issue licenses for this under Section 77 of the Municipal

Serial No.

Municipal Regulation

Rules and Bye-laws for the purpose may be issued by the Sanitary Board under Section 35 of the Mines Regulation.

Bye-laws have been issued by them for regulating dangerous or offensive trades in the area. But they have not included petroleum under the rules as a dangerous article requiring licenses (Vide para 24 of the Kolar Gold Field Sanitary Board Bye-laws). When they have power to regulate dangerous trade, they may regulate the transport and possession of petroleum also.

It seems therefore better to specifically state in the notification that notwithstanding anything contained in the laws governing these bodies, that the control regarding the transport and possession of petroleum shall be according to the Petroleum Regulation.

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 11 SEP. 1929 No. 2332

Second member

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT 21 SEP 1929

As regards query 6 of the Resident the operation of the enactments in question were have to be limited to quantities of non-dangerous petroleum not exceeding 500 gals as in the Bangalore notification, as under

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01654—GPB—30,000—20-4-29.

Serial No.

S. 10 of our Petroleum Regn. no licence is given for the possession or transport of such quantities of non-dangerous petroleum

Query (2) Agencies for the conduct of the administrative duties prescribed under the Regn:-

a) Issue of licenses The various authorities have been defined in the Regulation & the Rules (vide S. 5 of Regn. & Rule (1) of Class. III of Rules)

b) Testing of petroleum after import (S. 9 of Regn. No officer has yet been appointed. This will have to be done. (The question is being dealt with in another file).

(c) Power to inspect (S. 11)

Officers have been appointed (vide S. 37 of Rules. Flag A)

(d) Testing apparatus Chemical Examiner (vide S. 18 & 19

(e) Testing electric cable on Petrol. tanks Govt. Electl. Engr. (Rule 7 Cl. I Rule II-A)

(f) Criminal Jurisdiction Magistrate of 1st & 2nd. Class (S. 17)

Query (3) Allocation of revenue

As regards this the matter was placed before the Standing Committee of the R.A & L.C. for S. & They have not come to any final decision yet (vide para 82). They wanted the opinion of the Special Officer for Kanakia which is contained in para 114. They may, perhaps, be again consulted (for 1st. Abbs opinion on this point please see para 121). During the year 1925-26 the total amount collected by all the Municipalities in the State by way of fees for the storage of petroleum was only Rs 655 (vide Page 20 of notes). The A. & T. Sanitary Board is not charging any fees for this (vide para 162 Flag T.)

Queries 4 & 5 vide note of I.S.G. branch above Page 5)

Clr
5.10.29

General and Revenue Secretariat

Govt. File No. 56 133 1925

Serial No.

154. Please put up a copy of the Petroleum Regn + other enactments referred to in the points now under discussion

Sec
10/1.
Ce
10/1

155. Send with copies of the Petroleum Regulation I of 1923 + Rules, The Mining Regn VII of 1906 + Rules and The Municipal Regn VII of 1906.

MR.
13.11.30.

Please make reference in the Regns + Rules to the points under discussion

Sd
13/11
Attended to
MR.
17.11.30.

(Signed)
18/11
Ce
18/1

156. Please put up draft notifications under the Petroleum Reg and in accordance with the Municipal Regn about which there can be no doubt or dispute.

The point that would incidentally arise as a result of the issue of these notifications being to ensure the procedure in conformity with both the Regns - and also as referred to by the first Member in the minute may be formally set forth duly noted upon and referenced to the sections concerned

(Typed)
Sec. 23/1. Ce 23/1.

to—Contn. Shee

WD 02328—GPB—10,000—22-4-30.

Govt. File No 56 of 1925 General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

A draft notification under Section 22 of the Petroleum Regn limiting the operation of Sections 48, 70 & 151 of the Municipal Regulation & Sections 34 & 35 of the Mines Regulation is put up in accordance with the Member's orders on pages 32 to 34 on the lines indicated on page 38. As regards the points that would arise by the issue of the notification, the following are suggested for consideration

1) Whether it is necessary to make mention of the notification 4 of 26 extending the provisions of the Petroleum Regulation other than those relating to dangerous petroleum & the importation of petroleum to all Municipal Areas in the State including the K.G.F. Town Board

2) What action should be taken to prevent Municipalities from exercising powers in regard to the issue of licenses and the levy of fees on petroleum storage installations under the Municipal Regulation so as to prevent duplication of the levy of fees both by Govt & the Local Bodies.

3) Question of the allocation of the revenue realised under this head between Govt & Municipalities in regard to which the 1st Member has remarked that when Govt issue licenses & receive fees therefor, they have corresponding duties to discharge in regard to

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Gen. File No. 56 of 1925

Serial No.

inspections & other administrative measures to be adopted in the interests of public safety and that as such Local Bodies upon whom no responsibility is cast cannot lay claim to a share of the fees collected (page 33 of the notes) In this connection the Asstt Secy (A) has suggested that the Standing Committee (of the R.A.s L.G.) may again be consulted as the matter had been placed before them first but (page 48 of notes) no decision was arrived at in the matter. The 1st Member's queries on pages 39 to 41 have been answered on pages 46 to 48.

Submitted for orders.

14.2.31. 158. Clean type draft as proposed.

14/2

Typed draft put up & file submitted for orders.

14.2.31. 159. Opn: for approval.

[There are no other points requiring elucidation. The only point is that persons should not be charged twice owing to over-lapping of the provisions of different regulations. This will be obviated by the issue of this notification. The queries of the 1st Member in paras 144 have been replied to in pages 46 to 48. The question whether the local bodies have to be compensated for loss of revenue may also be decided.

161. The L. R. may be consulted as regards the

Geol File No. 56 of 1935 — General and Revenue Secretariat

13
Law

wording of the draft notification
Secy to)

162. wil. Asst. Sec. kindly discuss this in person?

9.7.31.

163. For favourable remarks
Law Section)

14:7:31

Decr Br CW. requested to get me the port of India notes and contents of such and the Gazettes of India received today - [illegible]

164. I do not think that references to particular sections of the Municipal Regn or the Mines Regn are necessary to be made in the notification to be issued under sec 22 of the Petroleum Regn. It is sufficient if the operation of those Regulations is limited in so far as they relate to the possession or transport of Petroleum.

165. It is not clear why "storage of petroleum" is ~~added~~ mentioned in the draft notification. This appears to be included in the words "possession of petroleum" and sec 22 of the Regn speaks only of "possession or transport" & not of "storage". The notification issued under a similar enactment by the Govt of India, does not mention storage of petroleum.

166. I think the notification to be

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 56 of 1931

Serial No.

Law

Flag 'Q'

SECRETARY 1931

1931 696

here
issued may follow the language of the Govt of India Notn as far as possible
A draft notification is also put up herewith for consideration—

167. Chief Secy — DMP 22/7/31

Nec 24/7/31

168 Development Secretary) — DMP 24.7.31
The draft (Flag Q) may be approved.
Secy (D) — Cer 29/7

169. Draft — D 27.7.31

170. (a) 1st member) Where is the corresponding Cr J notification? — Cer 27/7

(b) Is previous publication for suggestions & objections necessary? — Nec 29-7-31

D 29.7 Cer 29/7

171. (a) The Gazette of India containing the notification urged to above is put up herewith
(b) As regards previous publication Kind reference is invited to Section 23 (1) of the Regn Act stating that rules have to be made after previous publication in such manner as Govt may by notification direct.
Submitted for orders.
MV. 30.7.31.

3.3.2 (p.3) 31

Govt File No. 568 4/ of 1925

General and Revenue Secretariat

2. No this is not a rule. Previous publication does not appear necessary.

For favour of advice
Law Secy.) CE 3/7

2.2
Law

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL
2 AUG. 1931
No. 915

173. No previous publication is necessary for a notification under sec 22 of Pet. Rule.

Dev Br.) DOP 1/8

174.
Sec. Mb.) CE 1/8

Draft appd
Revd 8.8.31
A 3.8.31

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
4 AUG. 1931
No. G11

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
3 AUG. 1931
No. 1355

175 For perusal by Secy CE 3/8

Land Mbr

Davar (Refer para 40)
Flag A

bc
3/8/31
CE 4/8

JM
4-8-31
A
5-8.

Secy
CE 5/8

Re the Kerosene, Mineral Oils Gazette. No. D. 783 d. 13.8.31. Forwards for publication a notification limiting the operations of the enactments specified therein viz. possession or transport of petroleum to quantities of underwax petroleum not

General and Revenue Secretariat

Genl. File No. 51 of 1945

Serial No.

not exceeding 500 gallons and to quantities not exceeding three gallons if contained in receptacles such as are described in the proviso to Section 6 of the said Regulation.

Copy to the Director of I & C with reference to his letter No. 42348/27-28 d. 3.7.31.

Copies to the Dt Magistrates of Districts, the Presidents, Bangalore & Mysore City Municipalities D.C.'s of Districts for communication to the various Municipalities in their Districts, the President, Yany Board, K.G.F.

as A till
ne copies of
ipn are sent.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
11 AUG 1931
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

ne copies since
d & sent to re-
aining addressees.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
B
MAY 1945
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

17428

ELEGRAPHIC ADDRESS: "INDUSCOM"

In reply please refer to No. G 364-6/S

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE.

Encone

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR,

Dated Bangalore, the 23rd Octr: *192* 5.

24

Encl 56-25-1

2173

GOVT. OF MYSORE
REVENUE DEPARTMENT
RECEIVED
FROM
BR. 9 C
24 OCT. 1925
TO SECTION

From: The Offg: *Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore*
Bangalore (India)

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Revenue Department

Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honour to state that the President of the City Municipal Council, Mysore, has asked me in his letter No:553 dated the 1st September 1925, a copy of which is enclosed herein for reference whether in view of the special legislation contained in the Mysore Petroleum Regulation and Rules providing for the storage and transport of petroleum the levy of fees in respect of Petroluem Installations under the Municipal Regulation and bye-laws may be dispensed with, I made necessary enquiries in the matter and learn from the Municipal authorities that neither in Madras nor in the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, is any fee levied under the Municipal law when license fee is collected under the Petroleum Act. Copies of letters received from the President, Municipal Commission, Bangalore, and the Revenue Officer, Madras Corporation, are enclosed here in for information of Government The Oil Companies in the State may in course of time apply for similar exemption for the payment of fee under the Municipal Regulation. As the Adminstration of the Petroleum Regulation in the State should be in conformity with the rules prevailing in British India, I suggest the levy of fee under the Municipal Regulation may be dispensed with. I request you to kindly let me know what the views of Government are in the matter to enable me to send a suitable reply to the President, City Municipal Council, Mysore

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

BV.

Letter No:553 dated 31st August 1925 / 1st Septr: 1925 from the President, City Municipal Council, Mysore, to the Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore, Bangalore

With reference to Comptroller's Circular No: 997 T.A.D. dated 8th June 1925, I have the honour to inform you that no licenses have been issued by the Municipality for the possession or transport of kerosine oil under the Mysore Petroleum Regulation. Under the Municipal byelaws relating to dangerous and offensive trades, Storage of kerosine oil and petroleum is subject to license by the Municipal Council and the following scale of fees has been fixed.

Rs2 for one thousand gallons on the capacity of each godown or tank in which Kerosine oil or petroleum is stored subject to a minimum of Rs14/= per annum. Storage capacity of the building shall be calculated at the rate of ten gallons for 3 cubic feet and shall be written on the outside of the tank.

I shall be obliged if you will kindly let me know if this provision of the existing bye-laws should be withdrawn in view of the special legislation contained in the Mysore Petroleum Regulation and Rules thereunder.

Letter No:G.715 dated 5-10-1925, from the President, Municipal Commission, Bangalore, to the Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore, Bangalore.

& & &

With reference to your letter No: M.792/C.S. dated the 10th September 1925, I have the honour to inform you that the Petroleum godowns in the Station are not subject to the payment of license fees under the Bangalore Municipal Law over and above the license fees levied under the petroleum Act in Force in the Station.

& & &

Letter No:L.B.2809/25 dated 29-9-1925, from the Acting Revenue Officer, Corporation of Madras, to the Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore, Bangalore.

.....

With reference to your letter No:M.829/C.S. dated 15-9-1925

I have the honour to inform you that no other fee than the one leviable under the Petroleum Act is levied on the storage of Petroleum once licensed. Fees for erection of tanks, encroachments and storage of articles otherwise licensable within the installation if permitted are levied.

========

"Copies"

Ravankaadsmar

For Offg: Director

24704

TELEGRAPHIC ADDRESS: "INDUSCOM"

In reply please refer to No. G. 503. CS.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR,

Dated Bangalore, the 16th Decr. *192* 5.

FROM

Md. Zahiruddin Mecci, Esq., B.A.,
Offg. Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore,
Bangalore (India).

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honor to invite kind reference to my letter No. G. 364 CS of the 24th Octr. 25 re: the suspension of the collection of fees levied under the Municipal Regulation for the storage of Petroleum within the Municipal limits in view of the special legislation contained in the Mysore Petroleum Regulation and Rules and to request the favour of early orders of Government thereon.

In this connection, I also beg to forward for the information of Government copy of letter No. 4204 dated the 10th December 1925 received from the Standard Oil Company (New York), Madras, requesting to be informed whether they have to obtain licenses from the Municipal Council, Bowringpet, for their Kerosine Installation at that place in respect of which they have already applied for a license under the Mysore Petroleum Regulation and the same is being issued by the District Magistrate. Kolar.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Offg. Director,
(Absent from Headquarters on Tour).

USC

Copy of letter No. 4204 of the 10th Decr. 1925, from the Standard Oil Company of New York, Madras, to the Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore, Bangalore.

In continuation of our letter No. 2937 of Octr. 26th, 1925, and in further reference to your No. Mis. 39/CS of July 23rd, 25, we would advise that we have now been called upon by the President, of the Town Municipal Council, Bowringpet, to take out a Municipal Storage license for the storage of non-dangerous petroleum in our minor installation at Bowringpet and we have now been advised by hi that this license is required in addition to the license for which we made formal application to you in our letter No. 9866 of June 26th 1925. We attach hereto for your information a copy of letter No.107 of October 20th, 1925 received from the President of the Town Municipal Council, Bowringpet, together with a copy of our - letter No. 2867 of October 23rd 1925 addressed to the President Town Municipal Council, Bowringpet in reply to his letter No. 107. We also enclose herewith a copy of letter No. 150 dated December 9th, 1925 addressed to us by the President, Town Municipal Council, Bowringpet to which we are to-day replying as per copy attached.

A similar question arose in the Madras Presidency during 1922, when we were called upon by the Hindupur Municipal Council to take out a Municipal license in addition to the license issued by Govern ment for the storage of non-dangerous petroleum in our minor instal -lation at Hindupur. A ruling was handed down by the Secretary to Government, Local Self-Government Department, in Notification No.849 M of July 27th, 1922. The ruling limited the scope of the Madras District Municipalities Act of 1920 so as not to overlap the provisions of the Indian Petroleum Act of 1899 in regard to issuance of licenses for the possession and-or transport of petroleum.

We are confident that it is not the intention of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore to compel the various oil Companies to take out licenses from two distinct and separate bodies for the storage of non-dangerous petroleum in a given installation. It is for this reason that we are referring this matter to you, and we - respectfully request you to give this matter your consideration and advise us whether it will be necessary for us to take out a license at Bowringpet direct from the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore and in addition thereto, to take out a license from the Bowringpet Municipal Council for one and the same building.

We also take this opportunity of advising you that on making application to the President, Town Municipal Council, Mysore for a license for the storage of 8,000 gallons of non-dangerous petroleum in our Mysore Godown, we were called upon by the President, Town Municipal Council, Mysore, to pay a fee of Rs. 48/-. In this connection we were advised by the President of the Town Municipal Council, Mysore, that Rs. 32/- represented the fee required by Government in accordance with the Mysore Petroleum Regulations of 1923, and Rs. 16/- represented the fee levied by the Town Municipal Council of Mysore.

We are sending copies of this letter to the President, Town Municipal Council, Bowringpet as well as to the President, Town Municipal Council, Mysore, advising them that the entire matter has been referred to you for your decision. We will be greatly obliged if you will give this matter your early attention as the issuance of a license at Mysore is held up pending receipt of yourdecision.

Thanking you for thecourtesy extended.

" COPY "

Remarks & Instructions

from Offg. Director

D 3220

33503

Geol. 56-25-3.

TELEGRAPHIC ADDRESS: "INDUSCOM"

In reply please refer to No. G/635/C

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR,

Dated Bangalore, the 4th Feby: *192* 6.

FROM

MD. ZAHIRUDDIN MECCI, ESQ., B.A.,

Offg: *Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore, Bangalore (India).*

REV. SECRETARIAT 4436 6 FEB 1926 INDUSTRIES & COMMERCE BRANCH

TO

G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Bangalore.

SIR,

Ecl 56-25

3220

In continuation of my letter No:G.503/CS. dated 17-12-1925 re: the collection of fees in respect of the petroleum installations etc., both under the Municipal Regulation and the Mysore Petroleum Regulation, I have the honour to enclose herein copy of extract of para 2 of letter No:1734 dated 25-1-1926 received from the President, City Municipality, Mysore bearing on the same subject. I request you to kindly obtain the orders of Government in the matter and communicate the same to me for needful action.

2. The President has raised the further point of the assignment of a portion of the revenue realised by the grant of licenses under the Petroleum Regulation to the Municipalities. This is in consideration of the revenue they would have otherwise realised under the Municipal Regulation. This point has to be considered by Government later on. I have in the meanwhile called for additional information from the President of the City Municipal Council, Mysore.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Offg: Director.
(Absent from Head-Quarters on tour)

BV.

Extract of para 2 of letter No:1734 dated 25th January 1926 from the President, City Municipal Council, Mysore, to the Director of Industri and Commerce in Mysore, Bangalore.

=====

X X X

Under date December 10, 1925, the Standard Oil Co., of New York have addressed you on the question of their liability to pay license fees under Mysore Petroleum Regulation as well as under Municipal bye-laws. I personally feel that the duplication of licenses and the levy of a doubl fee is not justifiable and would suggest your recommending to Government to issue a notification under Section 22 of the Mysore Petroleum Regulatio excluding petroleum installations, storage sheds etc , from the scope of the Municipal bye-laws relating to dangerous trades. In that case, however, it would be fair and necessary to credit to Municipal revenue (in modification of existing orders) the whole or at least one half of the fees realised by the issue of licenses under the Petroleum Regulation Chapter 6 Para 1 (i) of the Rules provides for the assignment of revenue to local bodies in such cases.

X X

=======

"Copy"

For Offg:Director.

BV.

06099

TELEGRAPHIC ADDRESS: "INDUSCOM"

In reply please refer to No. Cg. 87/4

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR,

Dated Bangalore, the 2nd August *192* 6.

FROM

Md. Zahiruddin Mecci Esq., B. A.
Offg. *Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore,*
(*Bangalore India*).

Ecd 56-25-R

GEN. & REV. SECTT. BR. 3 AUG. 1926 G. D. SECTN.

The Chief Secretary to Government,

Bangalore.

GEN. & REV. BRANCH 4 AUG 1926 INDUSTRIES & COMMERCE BRANCH

SIR,

I have the honour to invite reference to my letter No.G.535 CS. dated 5-2-1926 re: the abolition of the levy of fees in respect of the Petroleum installations under the Municipal Regulation. Copy of lette No.315 dated 22-7-26 from the Standard Oil Company of New York, Madras on the subject, is enclosed herein for the information of Government. As the company have been pressing for a reply in the matter I request you to be so good as to kindly obtain the orders of Government and communicate the same to me at an early date Personally I am of opinion that it is not justifiable to levy douole license fees in respect of petroleum storage installations both under the Municipal Regulation and the Petroleum Regulation.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Offg. Director.

SDH)
2/8.

F. VI. Gl.]

Issue & Submit.

To .....

.....

.....

Section Secr

File No. 56-25

Serial No 4

No. D. 2943 & 4 W/26

Diary No. of letter replied to 2173 & 3064 25.26.

To

The Dep. of I & C

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Sir

Date of issue 19.12.26

Signed by [illegible]

With reference to your letter No G. 364/C3 & 24.10.25 requesting that orders may be issued in view of the special legislation, viz. the Petroleum Regns & Rules thereunder, the levy of fees under the Municipal Regulation on Petroleum may be put an end to, I am directed to request you to furnish information on the following points

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

1) What are the rates of fees for storage of petroleum now that are being levied by (a) the municipalities & (b) by the Govt

& (2) What is the annual income that the municipalities are now getting from this source & what income is derived by Govt from the same source.

An early reply is requested.

Yours

RDS 11.10.26

WP 8/10 Secy to Govt

Dear Deputy

VS

41432

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE

Geol. 56-25-5

Office of the Director,

Bangalore, the 15th January 1927

From

The Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore,
Bangalore

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Development Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. D. 2743/Geol. 56-25 -4 dated the 19th Octr 1926 re the levy of fees under the Municipal Regulation and Petroleum Regulation in respect of the Storage of Petroleum, I have the honor to state that I addressed the Presidents of the Municipalities of Bangalore and Mysore Cities, K. G. F. and all District Headquarter Stations and Bowringpet on the subject. The information collected is sent herein enclosed in the form of a statement A sum of Rs 655 in all was collected during the year 1925-26 under the Municipal Regulation especially at the Municipali -ties at Bangalore and Mysore Cities, K. G. F. Bowringpet and Shimoga. The total amount collected during the year under the Petroleum Regulation was Rs 3,226-4-1.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Director-in-Charge.

Statement showing the Rates of fees levied for the storage of Petroleum and the Income derived from the Sources by the Municipalities & by the Govt during the year 1925-26

Town	Rate per 1000 Gallons		Total Income		
	Levied by the Municipality for Non-dangerous Petroleum	Levied by the Govt for dangerous Petroleum	Derived by the Municipality	Derived by Govt	Remarks
Bangalore City	Rs 2/-	Rs 12/-	Rs 274/-		
Kolar	Nil	12/-	Nil		
Bowringpet	2/-	12/-	115/-		
K. G. Fields	2/-	12/-	140/-		
Tumkur	Nil	12/-	Nil	Rs 3226-4-1	
Mysore City	2/-	12/-	108/-		
Hassan	Nil	12/-	Nil		
Shimoga	0-8-0	12/-	18/-		
Chickmagalur	3/-	12/-	—		
Chitaldroog	Nil	12/-	—		Vide Note 2
total			655/-	Rs. 3226-4-1	Vide Note 2

for Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore.
In charge

Note: 1. The bye-law framed by the Municipality for the levy of license fee on dangerous & offensive trades has not yet been given Effect to at Chickmagalur

Note: 2 This sum represents the total amount Collected & Credited to the General Receipts on the State

4807

TELEGRAPHIC ADDRESS: "INDUSCOM"

In reply please refer to
No. G806/C8.

13

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE.

Urgent
Refer
please
11/2/27

Enc. 2.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR,

Dated Bangalore, the 10th February 1927

Ecl. 56-25-6.

FROM

C. RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB, ESQ B.A., B.L.,
Offg: ~~The~~ *Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore,*
(Bangalore India.)

4985

SECRETARIAT, DEVELOPMENT BRANCH 11 FEB 1927 GEN -

To

N. RAMA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Development Departments, Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honour to enclose for the information of Government copy of a letter received from the Standard Oil Company of New York, Madras, as to the probable date when Government orders may be expected in regard to their application for relieving them from the payment of License fees to the Municipalities within whose jurisdiction they have established storage installations. I enclose a copy of the letter written by me to the Company to-day. I beg to request that if possible I may be informated when final orders of Government on the subject may be expected.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

C Ranganatha Rao Sahib

OFFG: DIRECTOR.

BV.

Letter No:8628 dated 4th February 1927 from the Standard Oil Company of New York, Esplanade, Madras, to the Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore, Bangalore.

& & &

Will you please refer to your No: 834 of September 21st 22nd, 1926, which was in reply to our No: 1894 of September 9th, 1926? The question discussed in this correspondence was duplication of license fees which we are paying in your State and it was our understanding that a reference had been made to Government with a view toward assisting you in issuing the necessary orders to the respective Municipalities in your State. Will you please advise us by return of post just when we can expect to know definitely the decision of Government on the point concerned?

& & &

" C o p y."

10227

OFFG: DIRECTOR.

BV.10.2.1927.

Copy of letter No. Ag. 2326/C Dated 10th February 1927 from the Director of Industries & Commerce, Bangalore, to the Standard Oil Co., of New York, Post Box No.115, Esplanade, Madras.

****

I write to acknowledge receipt of your letter No.6628 of the 4th instant requesting me to let you know (by return of post) when I expect to know definitely the decision of Government in the matter of relieving you from the payment of License fees to the Municipalities within whose jurisdiction you have storage installations, I am really unable to tell you definitely when the orders of Government may be expected. I have sent your letter to the Secretary to Government in the Development Departments and shall let you know on hearing from him. I may state that some further information required by Government in regard to this matter was furnished on the 15th Jany.1927.

COPY

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Genl

File No. 56-25

Seria No.

No. D Spec 2 [illegible] 3/27

Diary No. of letter replied to 4985

To The Dir. of Ins

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Sir

Date of issue 21.3.27

Signed by M Venkaya

With reference to the correspondence ended by your Demi-letter No 6.806/26 of 10.2.27 in the matter of the collection of fees in respect of petroleum installations [illegible] under the Petroleum and the Municipal Regulations, I am directed to state that pending final decision the existing practice of [illegible]

List of enclosures.....

Co by.....

Examined by.....

over

~~[illegible]~~ or

~~[illegible]~~ might

[illegible] ~~[illegible]~~

I have seen

[initials]

16/3 Dev. Secy

Cell-56-25-8

TELEGRAPHIC ADDRESS :— "INDUSCOM."

In reply please refer to
No. C2.348/27.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR,

Dated Bangalore, the 2nd December *192* 7

FROM

C. RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore,
(Bangalore India.)

To

N. RAMA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENTS, BANGALORE.

SECRETARIAT DEVELOPMENT BRANCH 5 DEC. 1927 GEN. & REVENUE DEPTS.

SIR,

I have the honor to enclose herein for your kind information copy of the letter No.4139 dated 23rd September 1927 received from Messrs. The Standard Oil Company of New York, Madras enquiring whether Government have passed final orders in the matter of the levy of fees on the storage of Petroleum both under the Mysore Petroleum Regulation and the Municipal Regulation.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C Ranganatha Rao Sahib

Director.

MSR.

Copy of letter No.4139 dated 23rd September 1927 from the Standard Oil Company of New York, Esplanade,Madras to the Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore, Bangalore.

In reference to your No.C.2.348/27 of August 10, 1927, we take this opportunity of enquiring whether your Government have arrived at a final decision relative to the duplication of license fees in Mysore State. The situation at the present time is that we are called upon to take out petroleum storage licenses from the Central Government at Mysore and also required to take out similar licenses from the different Municipalities concerned. We trust that a decision can be arrived at on this point before the expiration of the current license period viz. December 31,1927

Yours obediently,

for Director.

MSR.

A. P. F. VI. G[illegible]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Sec 2

File No. 56-26

Serial No. 9

No. D 7212-13

Diary No. of letter replied to 4891

General and Revenue Secretariat

To The Dir. of the Pecuniary Civil Municipality Bangalore.

the *192*

Date of issue 11-1-28

Signed by Asst V. V. A

Sir

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No C2. 348/27 dt 3 12 27 requesting orders in the matter of the levy of fees on the storage of petroleum [illegible] under the Bombay Petroleum & Inflammable Regns I am directed to enquire ~~if~~ ~~you have received any reports from the inspectors appointed for the inspection of the Govt. godowns for the storage of~~ the name of periodical over inspections if any that are

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WDO 125—GPB—30,000—26-5-27

2

now being conducted by the Officers of your Depts under the Petroleum Regulation

[illegible] necessary [illegible]
to carry out the [illegible]
matters to [illegible]
inspectors [illegible]
safely by enforcing safe and
efficient storage [illegible]
the Depts. of the other [illegible]
conducted carry inspections of petroleum
stores and have staff for the work

The favour of an early
reply is requested

Yours

W 10/1
Asst. Engr.

21 Geol 56-25-10

TELEGRAPHIC ADDRESS :— "INDUSCOM.

In reply please refer to
No. C2.348/27-28

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR,

Dated Bangalore, the 16th February *1928*.

FROM

C. RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB, ESQ. B.A., B.L.,
Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore
(Bangalore India.)

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT, 7095, 20 FEB 1928, DEVELOPMENT D.

TO

N. Rama Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Development Departments, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.D.7212-13/Geol.56-25-9 dated 11th January 1928 regarding the nature of inspections conducted by the officers of the Department under the Petroleum Regulation, I have the honor to state as follows:

The main duties of the officers are to see that all persons who are required to take licenses for the storage and transport of petroleum apply for them in time and pay the prescribed fee. Before they recommend to this office or to the District Magistrate the grant of licenses, they are required to inspect the places where petroleum is proposed to be stored and satisfy themselves that the conditions laid down in the Regulation and the Rules regarding the construction of the storage shed the provision relating to ventilation have been conformed to and that the safety distances between the storage shed and the surrounding protected works are provided for. During their periodical inspection of places of storage, the officers satisfy themselves that all the conditions of licenses held by the parties are observed and report cases where the rules are infringed.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

C Ranganatha Rao Sahib
Director.

YSR.

Geol. 56-25-11

No. C2-1/27-28

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE,

Bangalore City, Dated 7th Novr. 1928.

REV. SECRETARIAT 3736 NOV 28

FROM J.V.Mascarenhas, Esq, M.B., C.M., D.P.H., D.T.M.,
Offg. MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER,
CITY MUNICIPALITY,
BANGALORE CITY.

To

N. RAMA RAO, Esq, B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.D.7212-13/Geol. 56-25-9 dated 11th January 1928 and to the subsequent D.O. No.4266 dated 11th October 1928 enquiring about the nature of periodical inspections conducted under the Petroleum Regulation in order to secure efficient storage of Petroleum and public safety in the City limits, I have the honour to state that there are General Warehouses in Old Tharagupet and Mysore Road, where petroleum is sold in tins and the tins are stored in a separate room. In other places, the petroleum dealers store it in underground iron tanks and directly supply the commodity into the recepticles in the motor vehicles. No other particular measures are being adopted against public safety.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Offg. Municipal Commissioner.

DRR.

Forwarded with Compliments

N B. President

President

Mysore

93 Ecol. 56-25

Tels:—"INDUSCOM" Codes used—A.B.C., 5th Edn., Bentleys.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

D. O. No. C2-348/27-28 Department of Industries and Commerce.

SECRETARIAT 1503 DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

Mr. C. RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB, B.A., B.L.,
Director.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR,

Bangalore, dated the 6th March *192*9

Dear Mr. Rama Rao,

In continuation of my letter of the same No. of the the 3rd October 1928, I enclose herewith for your perusal copy of a letter I have received this day from the Standard Oil Company of New York, complaining about the double payment of license fees The matter has been pending for over three years and I request that very early orders of Government may kindly be obtained. May I suggest that if there is likely to be any further delay, the Company may be asked to withhold payment either to Government or to the Municipality.

Yours sincerely,

Ranganatha Rao Sahib

N. Rama Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government in the
Development Departments, Bangalore.

CRS-USC)

Copy of letter No. A. 3595 of the 4th March 1929 from The Standard Oil Company of New York, Esplanade, Madras, to the Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore, Bangalore.

***

DUPLICATION OF LICENSE FEES - BOWRINGPET AND MYSORE

***

With reference to your memo No. C2-348/27-28 of the 1st October 1928 and in continuation of our letter No. A. 2212 of September 22, 1928, on the above subject, we regret to bring to your notice that although more than 5 months have elapsed since we wrote our No. A. 2212, we have received no reply from you

As our correspondence on this subject has been carried on for over three years, we hope you will agree with us when we say that a decision should have been arrived at by your Government long ago without subjecting us to a double payment of license fees all along. Kindly let us know what orders have been passed by your Government on this subject and also whether we shall have to pay license fees at Bowringpet and Mysore under Municipal Bye-laws for the year commencing July 1 1929.

Thanking you for a very early reply

" COPY

usc)

Director.

Appendix F 6—1

25

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Geol

File No. 56-25

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Serial No. 12

No. D/6420

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

To The Dir. of Ste

the 192

Date of issue 11/4/28

Sir

Signed by Mr C.S. Hamilton

With reference to the Correc ending with your letter No. C2-348/27-28 dtd 16th July. 1928, I am directed to request you to be so good as to ~~state~~ furnish a detailed statement (distrikt + Taluk wise) showing the areas to which the Sections of Regulation I of 1923, other than Sections 1 to 3, and the provisions relating to dangerous

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

2b

petroleum and importation
of petroleum have been extended
the favourable consideration of a very
early reply is requested.

I have etc

W. W. 29

[illegible] For Secretary

[illegible]

9587 27 Geol. 66-25-13

TELEGRAPHIC ADDRESS :— " INDUSCOM. "

In reply please refer to
No. Cg. 348/27-28
Civil one

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE.

10 MAY 1929

DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR,

Dated, Bangalore, 8th May 1929. 10th.

FROM

C. RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,

Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore,
(Bangalore, India.)

To.

N. RAMA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Development Departments,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No: D.10420 Geol.56-25-19 dated 11-4-1929, requesting for a statement showing the areas to which the sections of the Mysore Petroleum Regulation (1 of 1923) other than Sections 1 to 3 and the provisions relating to dangerous petroleum and importation of petroleum have been extended, I have the honour to invite your reference to Government Notification No: I.C.6228 Geol. 93-25-4 dated 9-3-1926 on this subject. The sections of the Regulation referred to by you have been made applicable to all the municipal areas in the State and the locality administered by the Sanitary Board Kolar Gold Fields Copy of this Government Notifica-tion is sent herewith for your ready reference

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C Ranganatha Rao Sahib

DIRECTOR.

BV.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

No:I.C.6228/Geol.93-25-4 dated 9-3-1926.

N O T I F I C A T I O N.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Clasue (3) Sec.1 of the Mysore Petroleum Regulation(1 of 1923) the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore are pleased to direct that the provisions of the said Regulation other than those relating to dangerous petroleum and importation of petroleum shall extend to all Municipal areas in the State and to the locality administered by the Kolar Gold Fields Sanitary Board

By Order

Sd/- B. Sreenivasa Iyengar

Secretary to Government

Revenue Department

"Copy"

CRn

DIRECTOR.

DD

BV.8.5.29.

TELEGRAPHIC ADDRESS :— "INDUSCOM."

In reply please refer to
No. C2.348/28-29

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE.

Encl:-One

U R G E N T.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR,

Dated, Bangalore, 5th July 192 9

FROM

C. RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore,
(Bangalore, India.)

CENT. & REV. REGISTRY 206 6 JULY 1929 DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

To

N. RAMA RAO, ESQ. B.A. B.L.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Development Departments
Bangalore

SIR,

In inviting your kind reference to my D.O.No.C2.348/27-28 dated 6-3-1929 regarding the complaint of Messrs The Standard Oil Company of New York, Madras, against the double payment of License Fees I have the honour to enclose herein a copy of ~~the~~ letter No. A.4393 dated the 29th June 1929 received from the Company, requesting for an early settlement of the matter. I request that orders of Government may kindly be obtained and communicated to me at an early date as the matter has been pending for over 3 years If there is likely to be further delay I request to be informed whether the Company may be asked to withhold payment either to Government or to the Municipality as suggested by me in the D.O.quoted above.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C Ranganatha Rao Sahib

DIRECTOR.

Do not

BV.

Letter No. A. 4393 dated the 29th June 1929, from Messrs. The Standard Oil Company of New York, Esplanade, Madras to the Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore Bangalore.

****

Duplication of License Fees - Bowringpet and Mysore.

.....

In your No.C2.348/27-28 of May 27/28th, 1929 you stated that the final orders from the Government had not been received by you relative to the duplication of license fees paid by us at Bowringpet and Mysore

In view of the fact that this matter has been outstanding for a considerable period and our books are now under audit we shall appreciate it very much if you can arrange for an early settlement.

Thanking you in anticipation,

****

'C O P Y'

DIRECTOR.

BV.5/7/29

L 14440/23 Dy 2687/29

Dear Sir,

I am desired to enquire whether the Sanitary Board is levying any licence fee for the storage of ~~petro~~ petroleum under the Bye-laws framed under the Mysore Mines Regulation ~~of~~ any rule thereunder and to request you to kindly send me ~~very early~~ a copy of the bye-law, ~~or~~ rule/order in the matter together with information as to the No and date of the G.O. ~~of~~ sanction ~~in the~~ same

The matter may be kindly treated as very urgent

Yours sincerely

To 25 26/8/29
V. Vedavyasacharya, Esq., B.A.,
The Vice President,
K.G.F. Sanitary Board.

TELEGRAMS: "SPELMAG
TELEPHONE No. "56 CHAMPION

SANITARY BOARD,
KOLAR GOLD FIELD,
CHAMPION REEFS.

D.O.No 11 dtd 29-30

Dated, 27th August 1929

G.O.L/1005 CTT.
28 AUG. 1929
L. S. G. BRANCH.

Dear Sir,

In reply to your D.O.No L 1440/L.B. dated 26th August 1929, I write to inform you that the Sanitary Board is not levying any Licenseb Fees for the storage of Petroleum under the Bye-Laws framed underthe Mysore Mines Regulation or any Rule or Order thereunder.

A copy of the Sanitary Board Bye-Law is sent herewith

Yours Sincerly,

To,

T.K.Someswara Iyer.,Esq., BA, B.L.,
Asst Secretary to Govt
Local Self Govt Branch.

M.S.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

reply please refer to No. C2-348/27-28

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE.

Encl nil

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR,

Dated, Bangalore, 11th January 19 30

FROM

C. RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore,
(Bangalore, India.)

5907
13 JAN
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

To

N. Rama Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Development Departments, Bangalore.

SIR,

In continuation of this office letter of the same No. dated 5th July 1929 regarding the representation of Messrs. The Standard Oil Co. of New York, Madras, against the double payment of license fees in respect of petroleum installations in the State, I have the honor to enclose herein copy of letter No. A. 5444 -- dated 19th Decr. 1929 requesting for an early settlement of the question. As the matter has been pending for a long time, I request that orders of Government on the subject may be kindly obtained and communicated to me at an early date.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
C Ranganatha Rao Sahib
Director

usc)

The connected file which was sent to the Dev. Secy on 9.10.29 obtained for and put up for perusal.
Submitted for orders.
18.1.30
Secy 8)
20.1.30

Copy of letter No. A. 5444 dated the 19th Decr 1929 from the Standard Oil Company of New York, Esplanade, Madras to the Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore, Bangalore.

Duplication of license fees - Bowringpet and Mysore

We would refer you to the correspondence ending with your letter No. C2-348/27-28 of the 21st Septr. last and shall be glad if you will kindly advise us when we may expect to receive your - Government's final orders on the abuve subject If the matter is still pending, we shall be obliged if you will kindly bring it to the notice of the authority concerned in order to expedite an early settlement.

***

" COPY "

Director.

Tels.—"INDUSCOM" Codes used—A.B.C., 5th Edn., Bentley

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

D. O. No. C2-348/27-28 Department of Industries and Commerce.

8674

C. RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB, B.A., B.L.,
Director.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR,

Bangalore, dated the...5th April....1930

Dear Mr. Rama Rao

In continuation of my letter of the same No: dated 11-1-1930, I have to state that Messrs:The Standard Oil Co. of New York, Madras, have asked me in their letter dated 27-3-1930 when they may expect to receive final orders of Government in the matter of the double payment of license fees in respect of their petroleum installation at Bowringpet and Mysore. This question has been awaiting answer these years.

I shall be obliged if you will kindly obtain and communicate to me the orders of Government in the matter.

Yours sincerely,

C Ranganatha Rao Sahib

N.Rama Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government
Development Departments,
B a n g a l o r e.

Sms B file 15/5/30

The connected file has already been sent to the Secy

BV
This may be sent in continuation
MN.
10.4.30.

Secy 8) Seen. The files with me, & requires some study. 13/4/30

13 4 30

31a

Tels.—"INDUSCOM" *Codes used*—A.B.C., 5th Edn., Bentleys.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

D. O. No. C2.348/27-28 Department of Industries and Commerce.

Encl

REV. 133 - 4 JULY

Mr. C. RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB, B.A., B.L.,
Director.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR,

Bangalore, dated the 1st July, *1930*.

31

Dear Mr. Rama Rao,

In continuation of my letter of the same no. dated 8th April 1930, I enclose Herewith copy of letter No.2739 dated 14th June 1930 from Messrs. The Standard Oil Co of New York regarding the double payment of license fees in respect of their petroleum installation at Bowringpet and Mysore. As this question has been pending for a long time, I shall be obliged if you will kindly obtain and communicate to me the early orders of Government in the matter

Yours sincerely,

C Ranganatha Rao Sahib

To

N. Rama Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Development Departments, Bangalore

KS) Yadid
This file is pending with the Dew Secy.
16.7.30.

Letter No.2739 dated 14th June 1930 from Messrs. The Standard Oil Co., of New York, Madras, to the Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore, Bangalore.

When our accounts were being audited some four or five years ago, our Auditor took exception to the fact that we were paying duplicate license fees at Bowringpet and Mysore We accordingly took up with you this question of duplicate license fees in our letter No.4204 of December 10th 1925-

Our books are subject to periodical audit and at the present time we are expecting an Auditor and it is somewhat amusing to note that the Auditor who audited our books in 1925 and brought up this question is again going to be present and will undoubtedly bring up the same question, We shall feel very chagrined, if we can only tell him that the question is receiving the attention of Government. Can you not influence the Government to pass urgent orders on this vexacious question?

"Copy"

Director.

KS)

TELEGRAPHIC ADDRESS:—"INDUSCOM."

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE.

5041

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT 8 JUNE 1931 DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

In reply please refer to
No. C2-348/27-28
Encl. One

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR,

Dated, Bangalore, 4th June *193* 1

FROM

C. RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore,
(Bangalore, India.)

CHIEF SECRETARIAT No. 4927 3 JUNE 1931 BRANCH.

To

N. Rama Rao, Esq. B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Development Departments, Bangalore.
Camp Mysore.

SIR,

Reference - Double payment of license fees in respect of the Petroleum Installations in the State.

I have the honor to invite your kind reference to my letter of the same No. dated the 1st/3rd July 1930 on the above subject and to enclose herein for the information of Government copy of letter No. A. 8744 dated the 22nd May 1931 received from the -- Standard Oil Co., of New York, Madras, on this subject. As the question has been pending for a long time, I request you to be so good as to kindly obtain the orders of Government in the matter - at an early date. The Standard Oil Co. are frequently reminding me on the subject and it is desirable that some reply should be given to the Company.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

C Rangan atha Rao Sahib
Director.

USC)

Copy of letter No. A. 8744 dated the 22nd May 1931 from the Standard Oil Company of New York, Madras, to the Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore, Bangalore.

We would refer you to your letter D. O. No. C2-348/27-28 dated January 10th, 1931, in which you advised us that you have not yet received final orders from Government on the above subject and that you would communicate to us when the orders are received.

May we now ask you to kindly let us know the decision of Govern-ment which, we believe, must have reached you are now.

Thanking you in anticipation.

" COPY "

No IV 783

Genl 5-6-45-13

Draft note

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 22 of the Mysore Petroleum Regulation, 1923 (I of 1923), the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore are pleased to limit the operation of the enactments specified below, in so far as the said enactments relate to the possession or transport of petroleum, to quantities of ordinary petroleum not exceeding 500 gallons, and to quantities of dangerous petroleum not exceeding three gallons if contained in receptacles such as are described in the proviso to section 6 of the said Regulation :—

1) The Mysore Municipal Regulation, 1906 (VII of 1906)

2) The Mysore Mines Regulation, 1906 (IV of 1906)

Gaks 5/8/31

By order
22/7
27.7.31

To The Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publn.

Draft sent to Govt.

P. G. O.

Memo. No. 9784 5/8/31

Copy to the Director of P & C with reference to the correspondence ending with his D.O. Letter No. 42.348/27-28.d.3.7.31.

By order

9785-804 Ce 3/8 5/8/31

Copies to the Dt Magistrates of Districts. Bangalore, Mysore City

" to the Presidents of Municipalities.

" to the Dy Commrs of Districts for communication to the Amildt in their Districts.

Copy to the President, Town Board K.G.F.

Copy to Y.Y.G. Branch for information.

7/8

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT.

No. D. 783—*Geol.* 56-25-13, *dated 5th August* 1931.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 22 of the Mysore Petroleum Regulation, 1923, (I of 1923), the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore are pleased to limit the operation of the enactments specified below, in so far as the said enactments relate to the possession or transport of petroleum, to quantities of ordinary petroleum not exceeding 500 gallons, and to quantities of dangerous petroleum not exceeding three gallons if contained in receptacles such as are described in the proviso to section 6 of the said Regulation :—

(1) The Mysore Municipal Regulation, 1906 (VII of 1906).

(2) The Mysore Mines Regulation, 1906 (IV of 1906).

By Order,

D. SRINIVASA IYENGAR,
Secretary to Government,
Development Department.